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**SPECIAL REPORT**

Summary

A committee assembled by the American Academy of Neurology (AAN) reassessed the evidence related to the care of women with epilepsy (WWE) during pregnancy, including preconceptional folic acid and prenatal vitamin K use and the clinical implications of placental and breast-milk transfer of antiepileptic drugs (AEDs). The committee evaluated the available evidence based on a structured literature review and classification of relevant articles. Preconceptional folic acid supplementation is possibly effective in preventing major congenital malformations in the newborns of WWE taking AEDs. There is inadequate evidence to determine if the newborns of WWE taking AEDs have a substantially increased risk of hemorrhagic complications. Primidone and levetiracetam probably transfer into breast milk in clinically important amounts. Valproate, phenobarbital, phenytoin, and carbamazepine probably are not transferred into breast milk in clinically important amounts. Pregnancy probably causes an increase in the clearance and a decrease in the concentrations of lamotrigine, phenytoin, and, to a lesser extent carbamazepine, and possibly decreases the level of levetiracetam and the active oxcarbazepine metabolite, the monohydroxy derivative (MHD). Supplementing WWE with at least 0.4 mg of folic acid before pregnancy may be considered. Monitoring of lamotrigine, carbamazepine, and phenytoin levels during pregnancy should be considered, and monitoring of
levetiracetam and oxcarbazepine (as MHD) levels may be considered. A paucity of evidence limited the strength of many recommendations.

Recent estimates of the U.S. population (U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, 2007) and the prevalence of epilepsy (Hirtz et al., 2007) indicate that approximately one-half million women with epilepsy (WWE) are of childbearing age. It has also been estimated that 3–5 births per thousand will be to WWE (Yerby, 2000). Epilepsy is defined by the presence of recurrent, unprovoked seizures, and the treatment is typically a daily, long-term antiepileptic drug (AED) regimen. The majority of people with epilepsy have well-controlled seizures, are otherwise healthy, and, therefore, expect to participate fully in life experiences, including childbearing.

This parameter summarizes evidence for six important questions relating to the clinical management of WWE who are pregnant or who plan pregnancy.

1 Does preconceptional folic acid supplementation reduce the risk of birth defects in neonates of WWE taking AEDs?
2 What is the risk of hemorrhagic disease in neonates born to WWE taking AEDs?
3 Does prenatal vitamin K supplementation reduce the risk of hemorrhagic disease in the newborns of WWE taking AEDs?
4 Do maternally ingested AEDs cross the placenta or penetrate into breast milk?
5 Does indirect exposure to maternally ingested AEDs increase the risk of symptomatic effects in the newborn?
6 Are there changes in AED levels during pregnancy in WWE?

**Description of the Analytic Process**

The panel formation, literature search strategy, and literature analytic process are described in the companion article on WWE and obstetric complications and seizure change (Harden et al., 2009).

**Analysis of Evidence**

**Does preconceptional folic acid supplementation reduce the risk of birth defects in neonates of WWE taking AEDs?**

To be included in the analysis, articles had to measure the association between preconceptional folic acid use and the outcome of major congenital malformations (MCMs). MCMs were defined as structural abnormalities with surgical, medical, or cosmetic importance (Holmes et al., 2001). The development of an MCM was considered an objective outcome.

Eleven articles relevant to this question were identified by the literature search. The articles were rated according to the American Academy of Neurology (AAN) classification of therapeutic evidence scheme (see Appendix e-4a). Six studies were graded Class IV and will not be discussed further. The remaining studies were rated Class III (see Table e-1).

Among the five Class III articles, one study (n = 156) showed an increased risk of MCMs with lack of folic acid supplementation [odds ratio (OR) 16.88, 95% confidence interval (CI) 4.79–59.52] (Betts & Fox, 1999). The folic acid supplementation dose in this study was reported as 2.5–5 mg per day. A second Class III study measured a significant association between serum folic acid concentrations <4.4 nmol/L and neonatal malformation (adjusted OR 5.8, 95% CI 1.3–27, p = 0.02) (Kaaja et al., 2003).

Several Class III studies failed to show an association between folic acid and MCMs but were insufficiently sensitive to exclude a substantial risk reduction from folic acid supplementation. One study reported an OR of 1.67 for MCMs in the offspring of mothers on valproate who were not taking folic acid supplementation. However, the result was not significant (95% CI 0.62–4.50) (Vajda et al., 2003). Another study showed no effect of folic acid supplementation (OR 0.86, 95% CI 0.34–2.15) (Vajda et al., 2004), but lacked the statistical precision to exclude a potential benefit. The final study (Wyszynski et al., 2005) was inconclusive, since all WWE who had offspring with MCMs had folic acid supplementation.

**Conclusion**

The risk of MCMs in the offspring of WWE is possibly decreased by folic acid supplementation (two adequately sensitive Class III studies).

**Recommendation**

Preconceptional folic acid supplementation in WWE may be considered to reduce the risk of MCMs (Level C).

**Clinical context**

Folic acid supplementation is generally recommended to reduce the risk of MCMs during pregnancy (Czeizel et al., 2004), and although the data are insufficient to show that it is effective in WWE, there is no evidence of harm and no reason to suspect that it would not be effective in...
this group. Therefore, the strength of this evidence should not impact the current folic acid supplementation recommendation that all women of childbearing potential, with or without epilepsy, be supplemented with at least 0.4 mg of folic acid daily prior to conception and during pregnancy (Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Report, 1992). There was insufficient published information to address the dosing of folic acid and whether higher doses offer greater protective benefit to WWE taking AEDs.

**What is the risk of hemorrhagic disease in neonates born to WWE taking AEDs?**

To be included in the analysis, studies had to compare the risk of neonatal hemorrhagic complications in newborns of WWE taking AEDs to newborns of women without epilepsy. Hemorrhagic complications were defined as any hemorrhage within 24 h of birth. Studies looking solely at surrogate markers of bleeding risk such as coagulation factor levels were excluded. The risk of bias in each study was measured using the AAN prognostic classification of evidence scheme (see Appendix e-4b).

Ten articles were identified by the literature search. All but two articles were rated Class IV. The remaining two articles, one Class II and one Class III, are summarized in Table e-2.

The Class II article (Kaaja et al., 2002) evaluated large numbers of newborns born to mothers taking enzyme-inducing AEDs compared to healthy controls. Upon evaluation of multiple risk factors for neonatal hemorrhage using logistic regression analysis, enzyme-inducing AEDs did not emerge as significantly associated with neonatal hemorrhage (OR 1.1, 95% CI 0.3–4.6, p = 0.8). However, the high upper limit of the 95% CI indicates that the possibility of a substantial risk cannot be excluded. The majority of hemorrhages in AED-exposed newborns were accounted for by premature birth (<34 weeks). The Class III article (Choulika et al., 2004) also showed no increased risk with AEDs, which were mostly enzyme-inducers [relative risk (RR) 0.51, 95% CI 0.21–1.24, p = 0.14]. All newborns in both of these studies received vitamin K 1 mg, i.m., at birth, but the mothers received no prenatal vitamin K supplementation.

**Conclusion**

There is insufficient evidence to determine if the risk of neonatal hemorrhagic complications in the newborns of WWE taking AEDs is substantially increased (one inadequately sensitive Class II study).

**Recommendation**

Counseling of WWE who are pregnant or are contemplating pregnancy should reflect that there is insufficient evidence to support or refute an increased risk of hemorrhagic complications in the newborns of WWE taking AEDs (Level U).

**Does prenatal vitamin K supplementation reduce the risk of hemorrhagic complications in the newborns of WWE taking AEDs?**

No articles were found that provided higher than Class IV evidence.

**Conclusion**

Evidence is inadequate to determine if prenatal vitamin K supplementation in WWE reduces neonatal hemorrhagic complications.

**Recommendation**

There is insufficient evidence to support or refute a benefit of prenatal vitamin K supplementation for reducing the risk of hemorrhagic complications in the newborns of WWE (Level U).

**Clinical context**

Newborns exposed to enzyme-inducing AEDs in utero routinely receive vitamin K at delivery, as is the routine practice for all newborns (American Academy of Pediatrics Vitamin K Ad Hoc Task Force, 1993).

**Do maternally ingested AEDs cross the placenta? Do maternally ingested AEDs penetrate into breast milk?**

Articles were included if the investigators measured AED levels in at least five mother–child pairs for evaluation of placental transfer and a minimum of five maternal serum–breast milk pairs. Each study’s risk of bias was rated using the AAN prognostic classification of evidence scheme. The AED level in the mother’s serum was the risk factor; the AED level in the neonate’s serum was the outcome for the first question, and the AED level in the breast milk was the outcome for the second question. Studies were downgraded because of inadequately described serum AED concentrations; non-generalizable population; samples not obtained at uniform times, such as the maternal sample and the cord or milk sample obtained at differing times in the same pair; or fewer than 80% of samples collected according to protocol.

There is no threshold level of passive exposure to AEDs that is established to impart a clinically important risk to the fetus or neonate. For the purpose of this parameter, the panel stipulated that an AED transfer rate of 0.6 (neonatal-to-maternal plasma concentration ratio or a milk-to-maternal concentration ratio) was potentially clinically important. Similarly, the panel stipulated that any trend of increasing plasma concentrations in the neonate by 25% over the evaluated period (generally 3 days up to 1 month) was clinically important. The literature search identified 19 articles. Two articles were Class I, 16 were Class II, and one was Class III (see Table e-3).
Placental transfer

One Class I study (Kuhnz et al., 1988) and one Class II study (Nau et al., 1980) provided evidence that primidone and phenobarbital significantly cross the placenta (cord-to-maternal concentration >0.6).

One Class I study (Nau et al., 1981) and two Class II studies (Ishizaki et al., 1981; Takeda et al., 1992) provided evidence that valproate significantly crosses the placenta.

At least two Class II studies per AED (Ishizaki et al., 1981; Yerby et al., 1990; Takeda et al., 1992; Gomita et al., 1995; Johannessen et al., 2005; Tomson et al., 2007) provided evidence that the following AEDs significantly cross the placenta: phenytoin, carbamazepine, and levetiracetam.

One Class II study for each of the following AEDs showed significant placental crossing: gabapentin (Ohman et al., 2005), lamotrigine (Ohman et al., 2000), oxcarbazepine (Myllynen et al., 2001), and topiramate (Ohman et al., 2002).

One Class III study showed significant placental crossing for ethosuximide (Kuhnz et al., 1984).

Conclusions

Phenobarbital, primidone, phenytoin, carbamazepine, levetiracetam, and valproate probably cross the placenta in potentially clinically important amounts (one Class I and supporting Class II studies or two or more Class II studies).

Gabapentin, lamotrigine, oxcarbazepine, and topiramate possibly cross the placenta in potentially clinically important amounts (at least one Class II study for each).

There are insufficient data to determine if ethosuximide crosses the placenta in clinically important amounts (one Class III study showing significant penetration).

Recommendations

The fact that phenobarbital, primidone, phenytoin, carbamazepine, levetiracetam, valproate, gabapentin, lamotrigine, oxcarbazepine, and topiramate cross the placenta may be factored into the clinical decision regarding the necessity of AED treatment for a woman with epilepsy (Level B for phenobarbital, primidone, phenytoin, carbamazepine, levetiracetam, and valproate; and Level C for gabapentin, lamotrigine, oxcarbazepine, and topiramate).

Breast-milk penetration

One Class I study (Kuhnz et al., 1988) and one Class II study (Nau et al., 1980) for primidone demonstrated significant penetration into breast milk.

Two Class II studies for levetiracetam (Tomson et al., 2007; Johannessen et al., 2005) demonstrated significant penetration into breast milk.

One Class II study for each of the following AEDs showed significant breast-milk penetration: gabapentin (Ohman et al., 2005), lamotrigine (Ohman et al., 2000), and topiramate (Ohman et al., 2002).

One Class III study showed significant breast-milk penetration for ethosuximide (Kuhnz et al., 1984).

One Class I study (Nau et al., 1981) and a supporting Class II study (Nau et al., 1984) showed that valproate does not significantly penetrate into breast milk.

One Class I study (Kuhnz et al., 1988) and one Class II study (Nau et al., 1980) provided evidence phenobarbital does not significantly penetrate into breast milk.

Two Class II studies per AED provided evidence that carbamazepine (Kuhnz et al., 1983; Froescher et al., 1984), and phenytoin (Mirkin, 1971; Steen et al., 1982) do not significantly penetrate into breast milk.

The data were inadequate to show consistent evidence of accumulation of any AED in the newborn, including phenobarbital.

Conclusions

Primidone and levetiracetam probably penetrate into breast milk in potentially clinically important amounts (one Class I study and a supporting Class II study or two Class II studies). Gabapentin, lamotrigine, and topiramate possibly penetrate into breast milk in potentially clinically important amounts (one Class II study each). Valproate, phenobarbital, phenytoin, and carbamazepine probably do not penetrate into breast milk in potentially clinically important amounts (one Class I study and a supporting Class II study or two Class II studies). There are insufficient data to determine if ethosuximide penetrates breast milk in clinically important amounts (one Class III study showing significant transfer).

Recommendations

Valproate, phenobarbital, phenytoin, and carbamazepine may be considered as not transferring into breast milk to as great an extent as primidone, levetiracetam, gabapentin, lamotrigine, and topiramate (Level B when compared to primidone and levetiracetam and Level C when compared to gabapentin, lamotrigine, and topiramate).

Clinical context

Because of small sample size, there was no way to analyze the potential contribution of other clinical factors, such as AED polytherapy, on the passive transfer of AEDs to newborns of WWE.

Does indirect exposure to maternally ingested AEDs lead to symptomatic effects in the newborn?

We defined pertinent symptomatic effects as those likely attributable to the AED (e.g., withdrawal, inconsolable fussiness, excessive sedation, lethargy). We searched for controlled studies comparing the frequency of such symptoms in the newborns of WWE on AEDs to WWE not on AEDs. No articles were identified.
Conclusion

There is no evidence to determine if indirect exposure to maternally ingested AEDs has symptomatic effects on the newborns of WWE.

Recommendation

None (Level U).

Clinical context

Certainly many of the AEDs cross through the placenta or into breast milk in measurable concentrations, with some meaningful differences in AEDs, particularly for breast-milk transfer. The clinical consequences for the newborn of ingesting AEDs via breast milk remain sorely underexplored and will continue to produce anxiety in WWE bearing children and all who care for these clinical dyads.

For each of the AEDs, does pregnancy cause a change in the levels of the medication or clearance of the medication?

Articles were included in the analysis if the investigators compared preconception and postpartum AED levels. Articles were classified according to the AAN prognostic classification of evidence scheme (see Appendix e-4b). Using this scheme, pregnancy was considered the predictor, and a change in serum drug levels or drug clearance was considered the outcome.

Serum AED level assays were considered an objective outcome. However, other concerns about the assay’s technical reliability and margin of error were considered as potential sources of bias, and studies were downgraded accordingly. Trough sampling was not a requirement, but inconsistent times of sampling resulted in downgrading. Postpartum values >6 weeks were also accepted as an estimate for nonpregnant baseline. Changes in AED levels in WWE on polytherapy were accepted if it was clearly stated that other AED doses were kept the same. Articles that included WWE on polytherapy were downgraded.

No specific magnitude of change in AED level or clearance was required to be considered clinically important. However, the panel looked for evidence that an increase in seizure frequency was associated with a pregnancy-related decrease in AED levels.

Thirty-one relevant articles were identified by the literature search. In addition, three articles published before 1985 were included in the analysis because they provided the only available information regarding some of the older AEDs. This was considered acceptable because the technology of AED level assays has been stable for decades. Three articles were classified as Class I, five were Class II, and 23 were Class III. For each AED, only the articles with the lowest risk of bias contributing to the conclusions are included in the evidence tables (see Table e-4–e-8).

Lamotrigine

One Class I study (Pennell et al., 2008) showed that both lamotrigine total and free clearance increased throughout pregnancy, with a peak of 94% (total) and 89% (free) in the third trimester. It is important to note that seizure frequency increased when the lamotrigine level decreased to 65% of the preconceptional individualized target lamotrigine concentration. Two Class II studies (Tran et al., 2002; Pennell et al., 2004) also showed an increase in the lamotrigine clearance. One study (Tran et al., 2002) showed >65% increase in clearance between prepregnancy baseline and the second and third trimesters. The second study (Pennell et al., 2004) showed that lamotrigine clearance increased until 32 weeks of gestation, with a peak of 230% above prepregnancy baseline. All three of these studies showed substantial variability between individuals in the magnitude of the enhanced lamotrigine clearance.

Conclusion. Pregnancy probably causes an increase in clearance and a decrease in the level of lamotrigine during pregnancy. The decrease in lamotrigine level is associated with an increase in seizure frequency (one Class I and two Class II studies).

Carbamazepine

One Class I study (Tomson et al., 1994) of 35 women taking carbamazepine during pregnancy showed that total concentration of carbamazepine decreased by 9% in the second trimester and 12% in the third trimester compared to baseline. However, free carbamazepine levels did not change significantly during pregnancy compared to baseline. Carbamazepine–epoxide concentrations, total and free, did not change. Two Class III studies (Battino et al., 1985; Bernus et al., 1995) showed slightly increased clearance (10–27.5%) during pregnancy, but one was confounded by findings only in women on polytherapy with enzyme-inducing AEDs (Bernus et al., 1995). One study (Battino et al., 1985) showed increased carbamazepine–epoxide levels and increased epoxide-to-carbamazepine ratios during pregnancy.

Conclusion. Pregnancy probably causes a small decrease in concentration of carbamazepine (9% in second trimester and 12% in third trimester) (one Class I study).

Phenytoin

One Class I study (Tomson et al., 1994) of 22 women taking phenytoin monotherapy showed that total phenytoin concentration decreased in all three trimesters from baseline (max of 61%). Free phenytoin concentrations decreased in the third trimester by 16%. The phenytoin free fraction increased in the second and third trimesters by a maximum of 40%. Plasma phenytoin clearance increased by up to 117% in all three trimesters compared to baseline. Free phenytoin clearance increased in the third trimester by 25%. Three Class II studies (Lander et al.,...
1981; Bardy et al., 1987; Dickinson et al., 1989) also showed increased clearance and decreased levels during pregnancy.

**Conclusion.** Pregnancy probably causes an increase in the clearance and a decrease in the level of phenytoin during pregnancy (one Class I study).

**Oxcarbazepine**

Two Class III studies (Christensen et al., 2006; Mazzucchelli et al., 2006) observed a decrease in levels of the active metabolite of oxcarbazepine, monohydroxy derivative (MHD). One study (Mazzucchelli et al., 2006) showed a mean decrease in MHD concentration of 61.5%, maximum in the second trimester. The other study (Christensen et al., 2006) showed that compared to before pregnancy, the mean dose-corrected concentration of MHD decreased by 28% in the first trimester, 26% in the second trimester, and 36% in the third trimester.

**Conclusion.** Pregnancy possibly causes a decrease in the level of the active oxcarbazepine metabolite, MHD (two Class III studies).

**Levetiracetam**

One Class II study (Tomson et al., 2007) showed that concentrations of levetiracetam decreased during pregnancy; maternal plasma concentrations during the third trimester decreased by 60% compared to prepregnancy baseline.

**Conclusion.** Pregnancy possibly causes a decrease in the level of levetiracetam (one Class II study).

**Phenobarbital, valproate, primidone, and ethosuximide**

Sufficient monotherapy data are not available to provide evidence for a change in levels or clearance of these AEDs during pregnancy.

**Conclusion.** Evidence for a change in clearance or level of phenobarbital, valproate, primidone, and ethosuximide during pregnancy is inadequate to reach a conclusion.

**Recommendations**

- Monitoring of lamotrigine, carbamazepine, and phenytoin levels during pregnancy should be considered (Level B).
- Monitoring of levetiracetam and oxcarbazepine (as MHD) levels during pregnancy may be considered (Level C).
- There is insufficient evidence to support or refute a change in phenobarbital, valproate, primidone, or ethosuximide levels related to pregnancy (Level U), and this lack of evidence should not discourage monitoring levels of these AEDs during pregnancy.

**Clinical context**

The studies reviewed provide some evidence supporting active monitoring of AED levels during pregnancy. This is especially true for lamotrigine where changes in lamotrigine levels were associated with increases in seizure frequency. It seems reasonable to individualize this monitoring for each patient with the aim of maintaining a level near the preconceptional level, presumably at which the woman with epilepsy was doing well with seizure control. However, the studies reviewed fall short of determining that adoption of an active AED-monitoring program would result in improved seizure control during pregnancy.

Unfortunately, the studies reviewed provided no clear data on the timing of the return to the prepregnancy pharmacokinetic state after pregnancy. One study (Pennell et al., 2008) demonstrated that following an empiric postpartum taper schedule of lamotrigine reduced the occurrence of postpartum toxicity, but more systematic information is needed regarding the pharmacokinetic alterations in AED metabolism postpartum for all AEDs in order to determine the management of AED dosing in the postpartum period.

**Recommendations for Future Research**

The issue of whether preconceptional folic acid supplementation for WWE, particularly at high doses, provides additional benefit in preventing MCMs needs to be clarified. Similarly, the risk of hemorrhagic disease of the newborn in neonates born to WWE taking AEDs and whether late-pregnancy vitamin K supplementation could be beneficial need to be determined. Studies of some commonly used AEDs, such as zonisamide or topiramate, were so limited that no recommendations could be made regarding these specific medications.

Although many of the AEDs were shown to cross the placenta or enter breast milk, studies were limited in duration and did not systematically evaluate neonatal symptoms; more defined study on acute and prolonged outcomes in exposed neonates needs to be performed. This is particularly true for more subtle side effects, such as cognition and general healthy neonatal development. Information about how AED levels change during pregnancy based on individual metabolic capacity, as well as neonatal metabolism of AEDs consumed through breast milk, is needed in order to guide dosing and clinical monitoring of both mother and infant.

**Disclaimer**

This statement is provided as an educational service of the American Academy of Neurology. It is based on an
assessment of current scientific and clinical information. It is not intended to include all possible proper methods of care for a particular neurologic problem or all legitimate criteria for choosing to use a specific procedure. Neither is it intended to exclude any reasonable alternative methodologies. The American Academy of Neurology recognizes that specific patient-care decisions are the prerogative of the patient and the physician caring for the patient, based on all of the circumstances involved. The clinical context section is made available in order to place the evidence-based guideline(s) into perspective with current practice habits and challenges. No formal practice recommendations should be inferred.

The findings and conclusions in the report are those of the authors and do not necessarily represent the official position of the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention.
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